

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: David B. Anderson et al. ✓ )  
Serial No.: 462,587 ✓ ) Group 125 ✓  
Filed : January 31, 1983 ✓ ) Examiner Waddell  
For : GROWTH PROMOTION ✓ )  
Docket No.: X-5683 ✓ )

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks


Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

Applicants recently became aware of an additional reference which suggests a possible interference in the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office.

EPO No. 0 103 83 published March 28, 1984. An English equivalent is Australian Application No. 19241/83 copy attached. The documents are not effective as references against the above-captioned application since Applicants filed well before the earliest publication date. However, the references claim priority to a German application filed September 22, 1982. There may be a pending U. S. counterpart that may require an interference proceeding.

The subject matter disclosed in the reference involves the use of  $\beta$ -phenethanolamines as growth promoters and improvers of feed utilization. The reference includes a generic description of compounds of the formula



where R<sub>6</sub> and R<sub>8</sub> can be OH, R<sub>9</sub> and R<sub>10</sub> can be hydrogen and R<sub>11</sub> can be alkyl optionally substituted with phenyl, which can be

optionally substituted. The reference is not seen to specifically disclose any of the subject matter now claimed. Moreover, it is believed the reference is no closer to the now-claimed invention than the EPO references relied upon by the Examiner, and further that none of said references will preclude patenting Applicants' invention.

Consideration of the newly cited art is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles W. Ashbrook  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 27,610

Phone: 317-261-6015

Eli Lilly and Company  
Patent Division/CWA  
307 East McCarty Street  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46285

August 17, 1984